



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,644	09/15/2003	John Christian Haught	8170R	9385	
27752	7590 04/07/2006		EXAMINER		
	ER & GAMBLE COM	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL			
	JAL PROPERTY DIVIS LL TECHNICAL CENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENTER	R HILL AVENUE		1616		
CINCINNATI	, OH 45224				

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/662,644	HAUGHT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
·	Alton N. Pryor	1616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 September 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠							
3) Since this application is in condition for a	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice ur	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	cation.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-4,7-17 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• —	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/951)		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u>_</u> ·					

Application/Control Number: 10/662,644

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

- I. Restriction requirement is final. Although group I and group II has the same classification, a reference reading on the composition / method of using may not read on the method of preparing the compound used in the inventions. For this reason and reason on record, the restriction requirement will be maintained and is final.
- II. Objection to claim 3 will not be maintained in light of amendment filed 9/15/05. Applicant has amended claims 1 from which claim 3 depend to require an enzyme. Note claim 5 is allowable as indicated below.
- III. Rejection of claims 1 and 7 as being anticipated by and claims 2,4,6,8-17 as being obvious over Brown will not be maintained in light of amendment filed 9/15/05. Applicant has amended claim 1 to require an enzyme.
- IV. Applicant's arguments, see paper, filed 9/15/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) under 35 USC 102(b) and 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made below.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-4,7-17 are rejected under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to

Art Unit: 1616

reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant teaches a composition comprising a compound(s) of formula I and an enzyme(s). However, the specification fails to teach how the enzyme is combined with the compound of formula I. Is the bonding between the compound and enzyme covalent or the two components associated as complex or via electrostatic interaction?

Claims 1-4,7-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, does not reasonably provide enablement for combing enzymes with instant compounds of formula I. Specification does not explain how the combining is experimentally conducted. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Because receptor sites differ depending on the class or type of enzyme, the artisan would not know which compound of formula I would be effective with which enzyme without experimentation. The active sites of enzymes differ in size, polarity and electronegativity and the instant compound of formula I differ in size, polarity and electronegativity. For this reason alone, selecting the effective combination would be burdensome. The predictability in this art is high since a small change in a functional feature could result in a drastic change in activity and such a change can also result in an opposite effect or activity. To one of ordinary skill in the art, it would be a big job to determine the effect of all of the claimed combinations (compounds of formula I and enzymes), and especially since Applicant

Application/Control Number: 10/662,644

Art Unit: 1616

provides no Examples for guidance as to which combinations would provide desired activity.

Allowable Subject Matter

V. Claims 5-6 are allowable. The prior art does not teach or suggest the compound of formula I being applied to textile.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alton Pryor

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/662,644

Art Unit: 1616

Primary Examiner AU 1616